# Production, Manufacturing and Logistics <br> Retailer's optimal pricing and lot-sizing policies for deteriorating items with partial backlogging 

Horng-Jinh Chang ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Jinn-Tsair Teng ${ }^{\text {b,* }}$, Liang-Yuh Ouyang ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Chung-Yuan Dye ${ }^{c}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Management Sciences, Tamkang University, Tamsui, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan 25137, ROC<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Marketing and Management Sciences, William Paterson University of New Jersey, Wayne, NJ 07470-2103, USA<br>${ }^{\text {c }}$ Department of Business Administration, Shu-Te University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC

Received 2 September 2003; accepted 7 May 2004
Available online 28 July 2004


#### Abstract

Pricing is a major strategy for a retailer to obtain its maximum profit. Therefore, in this paper, we establish an economic order quantity model for a retailer to determine its optimal selling price, replenishment number and replenishment schedule with partial backlogging. We first prove that the optimal replenishment schedule not only exists but also is unique, for any given selling price. Next, we show that the total profit is a concave function of $p$ when the replenishment number and schedule are given. We then provide a simple algorithm to find the optimal selling price, replenishment number and replenishment timing for the proposed model. Finally, we use a couple of numerical examples to illustrate the algorithm.
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## 1. Introduction

In the growth stage of a product life cycle, the demand rate can be well approximated by a linear form. Consequently, Resh et al. [16], and Donaldson [8] established an algorithm to determine the optimal replenishment number and timing for a linearly increasing demand pattern. Barbosa and Friedman [4] then generalized the solutions for power-form demand models. Furthermore, Henery [14] extended the demand to

[^0]any log-concave demand function. Following the approach of Donaldson, Dave [6] developed an exact replenishment policy for an inventory model with shortages. In contrast to the traditional replenishment policy that does not start with shortages, Goyal et al. [11] proposed an alternative that starts with shortages in every cycle, and suggested that their alternative outperforms the traditional approach. Lately, Teng et al. [21] investigated various inventory replenishment models with shortages, and mathematically proved that the alternative by Goyal et al. is, indeed, less expensive to operate than the traditional policy. Hariga and Goyal [13] then developed an iterative procedure that is simpler than that by Goyal et al. Later, Teng [19] proposed a simple and computationally efficient optimal method in recursive fashion to solve the problem.

In many real-life situations, products deteriorate continuously such as medicine, volatile liquids, blood banks, and others. Consequently, Dave and Patel [7] discussed an inventory model for deteriorating items when shortages were not allowed. Sachan [17] then extended their model to allow for shortages. Hariga [12] developed optimal EOQ models with log-concave demand for deteriorating items under three replenishment policies. Recently, Yang et al. [23] provided various inventory models with time-varying demand patterns under inflation. Lately, Goyal and Giri [10] reviewed the contributions on the literature in modeling of deteriorating inventory.

The characteristic of all of the above articles is that the unsatisfied demand (due to shortages) is completely backlogged. However, for fashionable commodities and high-tech products with short product life cycle, the willingness for a customer to wait for backlogging during a shortage period is diminishing with the length of the waiting time. Hence, the longer the waiting time is, the smaller the backlogging rate would be. To reflect this phenomenon, Abad [1] proposed several pioneer and inspiring backlogging rates to be decreasing functions of waiting time. Lately, he [2] added the pricing strategy into consideration, and provided the optimal price and lot-size for a reseller when demand was a known function of the selling price. Chang and Dye [5] then developed a finite-horizon inventory model by using Abad's reciprocal backlogging rate. Concurrently, Papachristos and Skouri [15] established a multi-period inventory model based on Abad's negative exponential backlogging rate. Recently, Teng et al. [20] extended the fraction of unsatisfied

Table 1
Summary of the related research

| Author | Demand <br> factors | Demand <br> patterns | Deterioration | Backlogging | Planning <br> horizon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Abad [1,2] | Price | Constant | Yes | Time-dependent backlogging | Infinite |
| Resh et al. [16] | Time | Linear | No | No | Finite |
| Donaldson [8] | Time | Linear | No | No | Finite |
| Barbosa and Friedman [4] | Time | Power-form | No | No | Finite |
| Henery [14] | Time | Log-concave | No | No | Finite |
| Dave [6] | Time | Linear | No | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Goyal et al. [11] | Time | Linear | No | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Teng et al. [21] | Time | Linear | No | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Hariga and Goyal [13] | Time | Linear | No | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Teng [19] | Time | Linear | No | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Dave and Patel [7] | Time | Linear | Yes | No | Finite |
| Sachan [17] | Time | Linear | Yes | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Hariga [12] | Time | Log-concave | Yes | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Yang et al. [23] | Time | Log-concave | Yes | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Teng et al. [22] | Time | Log-concave | Yes | Complete backlogging | Finite |
| Chang and Dye [5] | Time | Log-concave | Yes | Time-dependent backlogging | Finite |
| Papachristos and Skouri [15] | Time | Log-concave | Yes | Time-dependent backlogging | Finite |
| Teng et al. [20] | Time | Log-concave | Yes | Time-dependent backlogging | Finite |
| Wee [24] | Time and price | Exponential | Yes | Fixed fraction of shortages | Finite |
| Present paper | Time and price | Log-concave | Yes | Time-dependent backlogging | Finite |

demand backordered in both models $[5,15]$ to any decreasing function of the waiting time up to the next replenishment. As a result, our model included numerous previous models, such as [4-9,11-17,19-22], as special cases.

Pricing is a major strategy for a seller to achieve its maximum profit. Consequently, in this paper, we extend our previous model [20] to provide a retailer its optimal selling price, replenishment number and replenishment schedule simultaneously in order to achieve its maximum profit. The major assumptions used in related research are summarized in Table 1. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the assumptions and notation used throughout this study. In Section 3, we establish the mathematical model and the necessary conditions for finding an optimal solution. We then prove that the optimal replenishment schedule not only exists but also is unique, for any given selling price. Furthermore, we show that the total profit is a concave function of selling price when the replenishment number and schedule are given. In Section 4, we provide a simple algorithm to find the optimal replenishment schedule and selling price for the proposed model. In Section 5, we use a couple of numerical examples to illustrate the algorithm. Finally, we make a summary and provide some suggestions for future research in Section 6.

## 2. Assumptions and notation

### 2.1. Assumptions

The mathematical model of the inventory replenishment problem is based on the following assumptions:

1. The planning horizon of the inventory problem here is finite and is taken as $H$ time units.
2. Lead time is zero.
3. The initial inventory level is zero.
4. A constant fraction of the on-hand inventory deteriorates per unit of time and there is no repair or replacement of the deteriorated inventory.
5. Shortages are allowed. The fraction of shortages backordered is a decreasing function $\beta(x)$, where $x$ is the waiting time up to the next replenishment, and $0 \leqslant \beta(x) \leqslant 1$ with $\beta(0)=1$. To guarantee the existence of an optimal solution, we assume that $\beta(x)+H \beta^{\prime}(x)>0$, where $\beta^{\prime}(x)$ is the first derivative of $\beta(x)$. Note that if $\beta(x)=1$ (or 0 ) for all $x$, then shortages are completely backlogged (or lost).
6. In today's global competition, many firms have no pricing power. As a result, the selling price is hardly changed for many firms. Therefore, in today's global competition and low inflation environment, we may assume WLOG that the selling price is constant within a couple of years. In a second paper, we will discuss the case in which the selling price is changed from one cycle to another.

### 2.2. Notations

$\theta$ the deterioration rate
$c_{\mathrm{f}} \quad$ the fixed purchasing cost per order
$p \quad$ the selling price per unit (a decision variable), defined in the interval $\left[0, p_{u}\right]$, where $p_{u}$ is a very large number
$f(t, p) \quad$ the demand rate at time $t$ and price $p$ with $f(t, p)=g(t) A(p)$, where $g(t)$ is positive and log-concave in the planning horizon $(0, H]$ and $A(p)$ is any non-negative, continuous, convex, decreasing function of the selling price in $\left[0, p_{u}\right]$
$c_{\mathrm{v}} \quad$ the variable purchasing cost per unit
$c_{\mathrm{h}} \quad$ the inventory holding cost per unit per unit time
$c_{\mathrm{s}} \quad$ the backlogging cost per unit per unit time due to shortages
$c_{1} \quad$ the unit cost of lost sales
$n \quad$ the number of replenishments over $[0, H]$ (a decision variable)
$t_{i} \quad$ the $i$ th replenishment time (a decision variable), $i=1,2, \ldots, n$
$s_{i} \quad$ the time at which the inventory level reaches zero in the $i$ th replenishment cycle (a decision variable), $i=1,2, \ldots, n$

As a result, the decision problem here has $2 n+2$ decision variables.

## 3. Model formulation

For simplicity, we use the same inventory shortage model as in Teng et al. [20], which is shown in Fig. 1. Similarly, we obtain the time-weighted inventory during the $i$ th replenishment cycle as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)}-1\right] f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the time-weighted backorders due to shortages during the $i$ th cycle as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the number of lost sales during the $i$ th cycle as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[1-\beta\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the order quantity at $t_{i}$, in the $i$ th replenishment cycle as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{i}=\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right.}\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. Graphical representation of inventory system.
the purchase cost during the $i$ th replenishment cycle as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=c_{\mathrm{f}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} Q_{i}=c_{\mathrm{f}}+c_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)} f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t\right], \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the number of units sold in the $i$ th replenishment cycle as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $n$ replenishment orders are placed in $[0, H]$, then the total profit of the inventory system during the planning horizon $H$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{TP}\left(p, n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p R_{i}-P_{i}-c_{\mathrm{h}} I_{i}-c_{\mathrm{s}} S_{i}-c_{1} L_{i}\right) \\
= & \left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t\right] \\
& \left.-n c_{\mathrm{f}}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right.}\right)-1\right] f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t-c_{\mathrm{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[1-\beta\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the problem is to determine $p, n,\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{TP}\left(p, n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$ is maximized. Hence, it is a $2+2 n$ decision-making problem for a retailer.

## 4. Optimal solution and theoretical results

For any given $n$ and $p$, the necessary conditions for $\operatorname{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)$ to be maximum are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \operatorname{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)}{\partial s_{i}}= & \left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)\left[1-\beta\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)\right] f\left(s_{i}, p\right) \\
& -\frac{c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta}{\theta}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)}-1\right] f\left(s_{i}, p\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right) \beta\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right) f\left(s_{i}, p\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \operatorname{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)}{\partial t_{i}}= & \left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right) f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t+\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)} f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -c_{\mathrm{s}}\left[\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[\beta\left(t_{i}-t\right)+\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] f(t, p) \mathrm{d} t\right]=0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $f(t, p)=g(t) A(p)$, after rearranging the terms in (8) and (9), we thus get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta}{\theta}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)}-1\right]=c_{\mathrm{s}}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right) \beta\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)\left[1-\beta\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)\right], \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)} g(t) \mathrm{d} t= & c_{\mathrm{s}} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[\beta\left(t_{i}-t\right)+\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (10) and (11), we obtain the following results.
Theorem 1. For any given $n$ and $p$, we have
(a) The system of equations (10) and (11) has a unique solution.
(b) The solution in (a) satisfies the second-order conditions for maximum.

Proof. Please see Appendix A for details.
Theorem 1 reduces the $2 n$-dimensional problem of finding the optimal schedule $\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\}$ to a onedimensional problem. Since $s_{0}^{*}=0$, we need only to find $t_{1}^{*}$ to generate $s_{1}^{*}$ by (11), $t_{2}^{*}$ by ( 10 ), and then the rest of $\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}$ uniquely by repeatedly using (10) and (11). For any chosen $t_{i}^{*}$, if $s_{n}^{*}=H$, then $t_{1}^{*}$ is chosen correctly. Otherwise, we can easily find the optimal $t_{1}^{*}$ by standard search schemes. The solution procedure for finding $\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}$ can be obtained by the algorithm in Yang et al. [23] with $L=H /(4 n)$ and $U=H / n$.

Next, we show that the total profit $\operatorname{TP}\left(p, n,\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\},\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\}\right)$ for any given $p$ is a concave function of the number of replenishments. As a result, the search for the optimal replenishment number, $n^{*}$, is reduced to find a local maximum. For simplicity, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{TP}(n \mid p)=\operatorname{TP}\left(p, n,\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\},\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\} \mid p\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 2. $T P(n \mid p)$ is concave in $n$.
Proof. The technique used in the proof of this theorem involves dynamic programming arguments and is similar to that used by Teng et al. [22] or Friedman [9]. For further details, please see Teng et al. [22].

To avoid using a brute force enumeration for finding $n^{*}$, we further simplify the search process by providing an intuitively good starting value for $n^{*}$. In fact, the holding cost per unit (including inventory and deterioration costs) is $c_{\mathrm{h}}+\theta c_{\mathrm{v}}$. The unit penalty cost of lost sales (which is the sum of profit per unit and cost of lost goodwill) is $p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}$. For simplicity, we may set the fraction of shortages backordered $\beta\left(t_{i}-u\right)$ to be approximately equal to $\beta(1)$. Therefore, the expected unit cost of stockout approximately is $\beta(1) c_{\mathrm{s}}+[1-\beta(1)]\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)$. Substituting the above results into Eq. (15) in Teng [19], we obtain an estimate of the number of replenishments for any given $p$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\text { round integer of } \sqrt{\frac{\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right)\left[\beta(1) c_{\mathrm{s}}+[1-\beta(1)]\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)\right] Q(H \mid p) H}{2 c_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right)+\beta(1) c_{\mathrm{s}}+[1-\beta(1)]\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)\right]}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(H \mid p)$ is the accumulative demand during the planning horizon $H$, i.e.,

$$
Q(H \mid p)=\int_{0}^{H} A(p) g(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

It is obvious that searching for the optimal number of replenishments by starting with $n$ in (13) instead of $n=1$ will reduce the computational complexity significantly.

We know from Theorem 1 that the optimal replenishment schedule exists and is unique, for any given selling price. Next, we study the conditions under which the optimal selling price also exists and is unique. For any $n,\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$, the first-order necessary condition for $\operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)\right.$ to be maximum is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{dTP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)}{\mathrm{d} p}= & {\left[A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t } \\
& +\left[A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} g(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\frac{c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)}-1\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p} \\
& -c_{\mathrm{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p} \\
& -c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[1-\beta\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p}=0 . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (14), we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 3. For any given values of $n,\left\{s_{j}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}$, if the gross profit is a strictly concave function of $p$ (i.e., $\left.d^{2}\left(p-c_{v}\right) A(p) / d p^{2}<0\right)$, then

1. The solution to (14) satisfies the second-order condition for maximum.
2. There exists a unique optimal selling price $p^{*}$ that satisfies (14).

Proof. Please see Appendix B for details.
It is clear from (14) that $\operatorname{dTP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right) / \mathrm{d} p=0$ has a solution if $A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) A^{\prime}(p)<0$. If the gross profit is a strictly concave function of $p$, then $A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{V}}\right) A^{\prime}(p)$, which is the derivative of the concave $\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) A(p)$, is a strictly decreasing function of $p$. As a result, the solution of $A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) A^{\prime}(p)=0$, say $p_{1}$, is the lower bound for the optimal selling price $p^{*}$ such that $\operatorname{dTP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right) / \mathrm{d} p=0$.

Combining the above three theorems, we propose the following algorithm for solving the problem.

## Algorithm

Step 1. Start with $j=0$ and the initial trial value of $p_{j}=p_{1}$, which is a solution to $A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{V}}\right) A^{\prime}(p)=0$.
Step 2. Find the optimal replenishment schedule for a given selling price $p_{j}$.
Step 2-1. Choose two initial trial values of $n^{*}$, say $n$ as in (13) and $n-1$. Use a standard search method to obtain $\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}$, and compute the corresponding $\operatorname{TP}\left(n \mid p_{j}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TP}\left(n-1 \mid p_{j}\right)$, respectively.
Step 2-2. If $\operatorname{TP}\left(n \mid p_{j}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{TP}\left(n-1 \mid p_{j}\right)$, then compute $\operatorname{TP}\left(n-2 \mid p_{j}\right), \operatorname{TP}\left(n-3 \mid p_{j}\right), \ldots$, until we find $\operatorname{TP}\left(k \mid p_{j}\right)>$ $\operatorname{TP}\left(k-1 \mid p_{j}\right)$. Set $n^{*}=k$ and stop.
Step 2-3. If $\operatorname{TP}(n \mid p j)>\operatorname{TP}\left(n-1 \mid p_{j}\right)$, then compute $\operatorname{TP}\left(n+1 \mid p_{j}\right), \operatorname{TP}\left(n+2 \mid p_{j}\right)$, until we find $\operatorname{TP}\left(k \mid p_{j}\right)>$ $\operatorname{TP}\left(k+1 \mid p_{j}\right)$. Set $n^{*}=k$ and stop.
Step 3. Use the result in Step 2, and then determine the optimal selling price $p_{j+1}$ by (14).
Step 4. If the difference between $p_{j}$ and $p_{j+1}$ is sufficiently small, set $p^{*}=p_{j+1}$, then $\left(p^{*}, n^{*}\right)$ is the optimal solution and stop. Otherwise, set $j=j+1$ and go back to Step 2.

From Theorems 1 and 2, we know that for any given $p$, there exists a unique optimal solution $\left(n^{*}, s_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*}\right)$ to maximize $\operatorname{TP}\left(p, n, s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$. Although we could not prove that $T P(p)=T P\left(n^{*}, s_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*} \mid p\right)$ is strictly concave in $p$, our numerical computational results (as shown in Examples 1 and 2 below) indicate that $\operatorname{TP}(p)$ seems to be strictly concave in $p$. However, to insure that the local maximum obtained from the proposed algorithm is indeed a global maximum solution, we should repeatedly use the proposed algorithm with distinct starting values of $p$.

Moreover, the optimal replenishment policy has the following useful properties.

Theorem 4. For any given $n$ and $p$, we have
(a) If $g(t)$ is increasing with respect to $t$, then the shortage periods and the inventory periods are getting smaller with respect to the number of replenishment, i.e., $t_{i}-s_{i-1}>t_{i+1}-s_{i}$ and $s_{i}-t_{i}>s_{i+1}-t_{i+1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.
(b) If $g(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, then the shortage periods and the inventory periods are getting larger with respect to the number of replenishment, i.e., $t_{i}-s_{i-1}<t_{i+1}-s_{i}$ and $s_{i}-t_{i}<s_{i+1}-t_{i+1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. The reader can prove it by using the same analogue as in Papachristos and Skouri [15] or Teng et al. [20].

## 5. Numerical examples

To illustrate the results, let us apply the proposed algorithm to solve the following numerical examples.
Example 1. We redo the same example of Wee [24] to see the optimal replenishment policy while considering time-dependent backlogging rate. $f(t, p)=(500-0.5 p) \mathrm{e}^{-0.98 t}, c_{\mathrm{f}}=250, c_{\mathrm{h}}=40, c_{\mathrm{s}}=80, c_{1}=120$, $c_{\mathrm{v}}=200, \theta=0.08, H=4, p_{u}=1000, \beta(x)=1 /(1+10 x)$. Solving $A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) A^{\prime}(p)=0$ first, we get $p_{1}=p_{0}=$ 600. Then, applying the algorithm, after three iterations, we obtain the optimal replenishment policy as shown in Table 2. The optimal values of $p, n$ and TP are $p^{*}=607.6, n^{*}=5$ and $\mathrm{TP}^{*}=77,460$, respectively. The total profit obtained here is at least $4.3 \%$ higher than that in Wee [24]. This is because Wee [24] assumed that all replenishment intervals are equal. Note that we run the numerical results with different starting values of $p=500,510,520, \ldots, 700$. The numerical results reveal that $T P(p)=T P\left(n^{*}, s_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*} \mid p\right)$ is strictly concave in $p$, as shown in Fig. 2. As a result, we are sure that the local maximum obtained here from the proposed algorithm is indeed the global maximum solution.

Table 2
Optimal replenishment schedule

| $i$ | $t_{i}^{*}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.0033 | 0.3616 |
| 2 | 0.3656 | 0.8068 |
| 3 | 0.8118 | 1.3890 |
| 4 | 1.3956 | 2.2426 |
| 5 | 2.2527 | 4.0000 |



Fig. 2. Graphical representation of $\operatorname{TP}\left(n^{*}, s_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*} \mid p\right)$ in Example 1.

Table 3
Optimal replenishment schedule

| $i$ | $t_{i}^{*}$ | $s_{i}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.2621 | 0.8799 |
| 2 | 1.1254 | 1.7121 |
| 3 | 1.9445 | 2.5056 |
| 4 | 2.7272 | 3.2666 |
| 5 | 3.4792 | 4.0000 |



Fig. 3. Graphical representation of $\operatorname{TP}\left(n^{*}, s_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*} \mid p\right)$ in Example 2.

Example 2. $f(t, p)=30,000 p^{-2}(100+15 t), c_{\mathrm{f}}=250, c_{\mathrm{h}}=40, c_{\mathrm{s}}=80, c_{1}=120, c_{\mathrm{v}}=200, \quad \theta=0.08, \quad H=4$, $p_{u}=1000, \beta(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-0.2 x}$. By solving $A(p)+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) A^{\prime}(p)=0$, we obtain $p_{1}=p_{0}=400$. After two iterations, we have $p^{*}=430.5, n^{*}=5$ and $\mathrm{TP}^{*}=17091.1$. The optimal replenishment schedule is shown in Table 3. Note that we run the numerical results with distinct starting values of $p=300,310,320, \ldots, 500$. Again, the numerical results indicate that $\operatorname{TP}(p)=\operatorname{TP}\left(n^{*}, s_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*} \mid p\right)$ is strictly concave in $p$, as shown in Fig. 3. Consequently, we are sure that the local maximum obtained here is indeed the global maximum solution.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we establish an appropriate model for a retailer to determine its optimal selling price, replenishment number and replenishment schedule. The proposed model allows not only the partial backlogging rate to be related to the waiting time but also a constant deterioration rate. Consequently, the proposed model is in a general framework that includes numerous previous models as special cases. In addition, we propose a simple, effective algorithm to solve the problem with $2+2 n$ decision variables. Furthermore, the demand function used in this model is quite general. So it gives some flexibility to cover many demand scenarios.

The proposed model can be extended in several ways. For instance, we may consider the permissible delay in payments. Also, we could extend the deterministic demand function to stochastic fluctuating demand patterns. Finally, we could generalize the model to allow for quantity discounts, inflation and others.
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## Appendix A

Proof of the part (a) of Theorem 1. If $f(t)$ is positive and log-concave, after using similar arguments in [5,12,15], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+\right. & \left.c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right)\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)} g\left(s_{i}\right)-g\left(t_{i}\right)-\theta \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right] \\
\leqslant & c_{\mathrm{s}}\left[g\left(t_{i}\right)-\beta\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) g\left(s_{i-1}\right)-\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) g\left(s_{i-1}\right)\right] \\
& +c_{\mathrm{s}} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[2 \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)+\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)\left\{\beta^{\prime}(0) g\left(t_{i}\right)-\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) g\left(s_{i-1}\right)+\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\} . \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $M_{i}=s_{i}-t_{i}$ and $K_{i}=t_{i}-s_{i-1}$ into (10) and (11), and then differentiating them with respect to $t_{1}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}\right. & \left.\left.+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\theta M_{i}} g\left(s_{i}\right) \frac{\partial M_{i}}{\partial t_{1}}+\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right)\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta M_{i}} g\left(s_{i}\right)-g\left(t_{i}\right)-\theta \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right.}\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right] \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}} \\
= & c_{\mathrm{s}} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[2 \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)+\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}}+c_{\mathrm{s}} g\left(t_{i}\right) \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}} \\
& -c_{\mathrm{s}}\left[\beta\left(K_{i}\right)+K_{i} \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i}\right)\right] g\left(s_{i-1}\right) \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}}-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}}+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i}\right) g\left(s_{i-1}\right) \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}} \\
& -\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}(0) g\left(t_{i}\right) \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial t_{1}}+\left\{c_{\mathrm{s}}\left[\beta\left(K_{i}\right)+K_{i} \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i}\right)\right]-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i}\right)\right\} g\left(s_{i-1}\right) \frac{\partial K_{i}}{\partial t_{1}} \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial M_{i}}{\partial t_{1}}=\left[c_{\mathrm{s}} \beta\left(K_{i+1}\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}} K_{i+1} \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i+1}\right)-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i+1}\right)\right] \frac{\partial K_{i+1}}{\partial t_{1}}, \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It is clear that $\partial K_{1} / \partial t_{1}=1$ and, from (A.1) and (A.2), we have $\partial M_{1} / \partial t_{1}>0$. Using the fact that $\partial M_{1} / \partial t_{1}>0$ and the assumption $c_{\mathrm{s}} \beta\left(K_{i+1}\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}} H \beta^{\prime}\left(K_{i+1}\right)>0$, we can obtain $\partial K_{2} / \partial t_{1}>0$ from (A.3). By repeating this procedure mentioned above, we can show that $\partial K_{i} / \partial t_{1}>0$ and $\partial M_{i} / \partial t_{1}>0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ from (A.2) and (A.3). Since $s_{n}\left(t_{1}\right) \equiv s_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(M_{i}+K_{i}\right)$ it is easily shown that $\partial s_{n}\left(t_{1}\right) / \partial t_{1}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\partial M_{i} / \partial t_{1}+\partial K_{i} / \partial t_{1}\right)>0$. Furthermore, it is not difficult to check from (10) and (11) that $s_{n}(0)<H$ and $s_{n}(H)>H$, thus the Intermediate Value Theorem implies that the root of $s_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)=H$ is unique. Therefore, the solution to Eqs. (10) and (11) not only exists but is also unique. This completes the proof of Part (a) of Theorem 1.

Proof the part (b) of Theorem 1. We first obtain the second-order derivatives of the $\operatorname{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)$. With (8), (9), (10), (A.1) and the condition $\beta(x)+H \beta^{\prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{i}^{2}} \equiv & \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)}{\partial t_{i}^{2}} \\
= & -\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right)\left[\theta \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)} g(t) \mathrm{d} t+g\left(t_{i}\right)\right] A(p) \\
& -c_{\mathrm{s}}\left\{\int_{s_{i}-1}^{t_{i}}\left[2 \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)+\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t+g\left(t_{i}\right)\right\} A(p) \\
& +\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right)\left[\beta^{\prime}(0) g\left(t_{i}\right)+\int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right] A(p) \\
\leqslant & -\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)} A(p) g\left(s_{i}\right)-\left[c_{\mathrm{s}} \beta\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}}\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}-s_{i-1}\right)\right] A(p) g\left(s_{i-1}\right)<0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{A.4}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial s_{i}^{2}} \equiv & \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)}{\partial s_{i}^{2}} \\
= & -\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)} A(p) g\left(s_{i}\right)-\left[c_{\mathrm{s}} \beta\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)\right] A(p) g\left(s_{i}\right)<0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{i} \partial s_{i}} \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} T P\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)}{\partial t_{i} \partial s_{i}}=\left(c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(s_{i}-t_{i}\right)} A(p) g\left(s_{i}\right)>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial s_{i} \partial t_{i+1}} \equiv & \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}\left(\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\} \mid n, p\right)}{\partial s_{i} \partial t_{i+1}} \\
& =\left[c_{\mathrm{s}} \beta\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)\right] A(p) g\left(s_{i}\right)>0, \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From (A.6) and (A.7), Eqs. (A.4) and (A.5) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{1}^{2}} \leqslant-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{1} \partial s_{1}}-\left[c_{\mathrm{s}} \beta\left(t_{1}\right)+c_{\mathrm{s}} t_{1} \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}+c_{1}\right) \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right] A(p) g(0)<0,  \tag{A.8}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{i}^{2}} \leqslant-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{i} \partial s_{i}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial s_{i-1} \partial t_{i}}<0, \quad i=2,3 \ldots, n, \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial s_{i}^{2}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial t_{i} \partial s_{i}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TP}}{\partial s_{i} \partial t_{i+1}}<0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\},\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}\right)$ to be the solutions of (8) and (9), then the Hessian matrix at the stationary points ( $\left.\left\{t_{i}^{*}\right\},\left\{s_{i}^{*}\right\}\right)$ is given by

$$
\nabla=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{1} \partial_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{A.11}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial s_{1} \partial t_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial s_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial s_{1} \partial t_{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{2} \partial s_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{2}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{2} s_{s}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{n-1} \hat{c}_{n-2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{n-1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{n-1} \hat{\partial} s_{n-1}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial s_{n-1} \partial_{n-1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial \hat{c}_{n-1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial s_{n-1} \partial t_{n}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{n} \hat{\partial}_{n-1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} T P}{\partial t_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Based on the inequalities (A.9) and (A.10), and the results by Balkhi [3] and Stewart [18], we know that the tridiagonal matrix in (A.11) is negative definite.

## Appendix B

Proof of Theorem 3. Taking the second derivative of $\operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$ with respect to $p$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}}= & {\left[2 \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p}+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t } \\
& +\left[2 \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p}+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}} g(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\frac{c_{\mathrm{h}}+c_{\mathrm{v}} \theta}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i}}^{s_{i}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta\left(t-t_{i}\right)}-1\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}} \\
& -c_{\mathrm{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(t_{i}-t\right) \beta\left(t_{i}-t\right) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}} \\
& -c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[1-\beta\left(t_{i}-t\right)\right] g(t) \mathrm{d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}} . \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}}=2 \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p}+\left(p-c_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}}<0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} A(p)}{\mathrm{d} p^{2}}>0
$$

it is clear that $\mathrm{d}^{2} \operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right) / \mathrm{d} p^{2}<0$. Consequently, $\operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$ is a strictly concave function of $p$. For any given values of $n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}, \operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$ is a continuous function of $p$ on a compact set $\left[0, p_{u}\right]$, where $p_{u}$ is an extremely large number. It is obvious from (B.1) that $\operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$ is not optimal if $p=0$ or $p_{u}$. As a result, the optimal $p$ must be an interior point between 0 and $p_{u}$. This implies that Eq. (14) has at least one solution. Next, since $\operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$ is a strictly concave function of $p$, we know that there exists a unique interior value of $p$ that maximizes $\operatorname{TP}\left(p \mid n,\left\{s_{i}\right\},\left\{t_{i}\right\}\right)$. This completes the proof.
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